UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

		CASE NO.
	Plaintiff(s),	
	V.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
	Defendant(s).	
	sel report that they have met pulation pursuant to Civil L.	and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties a	gree to participate in the following	owing ADR process:
Cour - -	t Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (Early Neutral Evaluation (Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	
appreciably i	nore likely to meet their nee conference and may not file	settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is ds than any other form of ADR, must participate in an this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Priva	te Process:	
	Private ADR (please iden	tify process and provider)
The narties a	gree to hold the ADR sessio	n hv
	the presumptive deadline	(The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order DR process unless otherwise ordered.)
	other requested deadline _	
Dated:		
		Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated:		
		Attorney for Defendant

In accordance with General Order No. 45, Section X(B), the Attorney for Defendant attests that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from the Attorney for Plaintiff.

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

[PROPOSED] ORDER

	Pursua	int to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:
		Non-binding Arbitration
		Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)
		Mediation
		Private ADR
	Deadli	ne for ADR session
		90 days from the date of this order.
		otherof the claim construction order.
IT IS S	SO ORE	DERED.
Dated:		Mafine M. Chely UNITED STATES JUDGE